## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

MICHAEL D. WATSON, JR., in his official capacity as Secretary of State and Trustee of the Public Tidelands Trust

**PLAINTIFF** 

v. CAUSE NO. 1:19cv989-LG-RPM

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS; GENERAL TODD T. SEMONITE, in his official capacity as the Commanding General of the United States Army Corps of Engineers; MISSISSIPPI RIVER COMMISSION; and GENERAL R. MARK TOY, in his official capacity as the President-Designee of the Mississippi River Commission

DEFENDANTS

## ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY AND EXPEDITED MOTION TO STAY JURISDICTIONAL DISCOVERY PENDING ADJUDICATION OF THE MOTION TO STAY

BEFORE THE COURT is the defendants' [62] Motion to Stay and Expedited Motion to Stay Jurisdictional Discovery Pending Adjudication of the Motion to Stay. The plaintiff has filed a response in opposition to the Motion. After reviewing the Motion, the record in this matter, and the applicable law, the Court finds that the defendants' Motion to Stay should be denied.

The plaintiff filed this lawsuit seeking injunctive and declaratory relief concerning the defendants' opening of the Bonnet Carré Spillway in 2019. After the defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction, the Court granted the plaintiff's Motion for Jurisdictional Discovery. The Court held that the plaintiff

would be permitted to conduct discovery narrowly tailored to the precise issue of whether the defendants' operation of the Bonnet Carré Spillway in 2019 was within the contemplation of the original Mississippi River and Tributaries Project when adopted or approved. The Court recently granted the plaintiff's request to extend the jurisdictional discovery deadline to February 18, 2021.

The defendants now seek a stay of this lawsuit so that they can evaluate whether recent legislation moots all or part of this lawsuit. The legislation cited by the defendants is the Water Resources Development Act of 2020, which was included in the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2021.

The Court finds that a stay is not necessary to permit the defendants to review the effect of this legislation. The case has already been delayed significantly, and the parties should conduct jurisdictional discovery and review the impact of recent legislation as expeditiously as possible. As a result, the Court finds that the Motion for a Stay should be denied.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the defendants'

[62] Motion to Stay and Expedited Motion to Stay Jurisdictional Discovery Pending

Adjudication of the Motion to Stay is **DENIED**.

**SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** this the 12th day of January, 2021.

Louis Guirola, Jr.

United States District Judge

s/ Louis Guirola, Jr.